# **International Journal of Current Advanced Research**

ISSN: O: 2319-6475, ISSN: P: 2319-6505, Impact Factor: SJIF: 5.995

Available Online at www.journalijcar.org

Volume 7; Issue 1(G); January 2018; Page No. 9206-9207 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.9207.1511



**Research Article** 

### FIXED POINT THEOREM OF WEAK COMMUTING MAPPINGS

### Damyanti Patel<sup>1</sup> and R.N.Patel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Guru Ghasidas University Bilaspur (c.g.) <sup>2</sup>Govt. M. L. S. College Seepat Bilaspur (c.g.)

### ARTICLE INFO

## Article History:

Received 19<sup>th</sup> October, 2017 Received in revised form 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 Accepted 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 Published online 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2018

#### Key words:

Complete metric space. Fixed Point, Continuous Mapping, Weak\*\* commuting mapping.

# ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove some fixed point theorem on weak\*\* commuting mappings of Complete metric space.

Copyright©2018 **Damyanti Patel and R.N.Patel.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# INTRODUCTION

Das and Visanathan Naik [1], have proved a theorem for two commuting mappings. Later on Fisher [3] extended and proved a common fixed point of commuting mappings already Fisher [2] proved the following theorem for commuting mappings T and S.

### Theorem [F1]

If S is a mapping and T is a continuous mapping of the complete metric space X into itself and satisfying the inequality:

$$D(STx, TSy) \leq c\{d(Tx, TSy) + d(Sy, STx)\}$$

for all x, y in X where  $0 \le c \le \frac{1}{2}$ , then S and T have unique common fixed point.

The purpose of this note is prove two results concerning fixed points of weak\*\* commuting mappings defined on complete metric space and satisfying some new functional inequality.

**Definition** [1]: Two self mappings S and T of metric space (X,d) is called weak\*\* commuted, if  $S(X) \subset T(X)$  and for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$d(S^2T^2x, T^2S^2x) \le d(S^2Tx, TS^2x) \le d(ST^2x, T^2Sx) \le d(STx, TSx) \le d(S^2x, T^2x).$$

\*Corresponding author: Damyanti Patel Guru Ghasidas University Bilaspur (c.g.) **Definition [2]**: A map S:  $X \to X$ , X being metric space, is called an idempotent if  $S^2 = S$ .

**Example [1]**: Let X = [0,1] with the Euclidean metric space and define S and T by Sx = x/(x+3); Tx = 1/3 for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $[0, 1/4] \subset [0, 1/3]$  where Sx = [0, 1/4] opr Tx = [0, 1/3]

$$d(S^2T^2x, T^2S^2x) = x/(2x+81) - x/(36x +81) = 32x^2/(4x+81)(36x+81)$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \leq 8x^2/(4x+27)(12x+27) &= d(\ S^2Tx,\ TS^2x) \\ d(S^2Tx,\ TS^2x) &= 8x^2/(4x+27)(12x+27) &\leq \\ 8x^2/(x+27)(9x+27) &= x/(x+27) - x/(9x+27) &= d(ST^2x,\ T^2Sx) \\ d(ST^2x,\ T^2Sx) &= 8x^2/(x+27)(9x+27) &\leq \\ 2x^2/(x+9)(3x+9) &= x/(x+9) - x/(3x+9) &= d(STx,\ TSx) \\ d(STx,\ TSx) &= 2x^2/(x+9)(3x+9) &\leq 4x^2/9(4x+9) &= \\ x/9 - x/(4x+9) &= d(S^2x,\ T^2x) \end{array}$$

Using [0, 1] for  $x \in X$  conclude that

 $\begin{array}{ll} d(S^2T^2x,T^2S^2x) \leq d(\ S^2Tx,TS^2x) \leq d(ST^2x,T^2Sx) & \leq d(STx,\\ TSx) \leq \ d(S^2x,T^2x) \ . \end{array}$ 

# We have prove the following theorem

**Theorem** [1]: If S is a mapping and T is a continuous mapping of the complete metric space X into itself and satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{array}{ll} [1.1] & \{S,T\} \text{ is weak** commuting pair,} \\ [1.2] & d(S^2T^2x,T^2S^2y) \leq c \max\{d(T^2x,S^2y),d(T^2x,S^2T^2x),\\ \frac{1}{2}(d(T^2x,T^2S^2y)+d(S^2y,S^2T^2x),\\ D\left(S^2y,T^2S^2y\right)\} \end{array}$$

for all x, y in X, where  $0 < c \le 1$ , then S and T have unique common fixed point.

**Proof**: Let x be an arbitrary point in X. Define

$$(S^2T^2)^n x = x_{2n} \text{ or } T^2(S^2T^2)^n x = x_{2n+1}, \text{ where } n = 0,1,2,...$$

By contrastive condition [1.2],

$$\begin{array}{ll} d(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}) &= d(\,\,(S^2T^2)^nx,\,\,T^2(S^2T^2)^nx) \\ &= d(\,\,S^2T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x,\,\,T^2S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x)) \\ &\leq c\,\,\max\{d(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x,\,\,S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x),\,\,d(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x,\,\,S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x),\,\,d(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x,\,\,S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^{n-1}x),\,\,d(T^2(S^2T^$$

inequality then we get  $D\left(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}\right) \qquad \qquad \leq \qquad c \qquad d(x_{2n}, \qquad x_{2n+1}), \qquad \epsilon$ 

 $D(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq C d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}),$  contradiction.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Hence } d(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}) & \leq & & d(x_{2n-1},\,x_{2n}) \;, \\ \text{then we get} & d(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}) & & \leq & c \; d(x_{2n-1},\,x_{2n}) \end{array}$ 

Proceeding in the similar manner,  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq$ 

Since c<1, it follows that the sequence  $\{\ x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space X and so it has a limit in X, that is  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_{2n}=u=\lim_{n\to\infty}x_{2n+1}$  and since T is continuous, we have  $u=\lim_{n\to\infty}x_{2n+1}=\lim_{n\to\infty}T^2x_{2n}=T^2u$  Further,  $d(S^2u,x_{2n+3})=d(S^2T^2u,T^2(S^2T^2)^{n+1}x,)=d(S^2T^2u,T^2S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^nx))$   $\leq c\max\{d(T^2u,S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^nx),d(T^2u,S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^nx)+d(S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^nx,S^2(T^2u))\},d(S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^nx,T^2S^2(T^2(S^2T^2)^nx))\}$ 

$$= c \max \{d(T^2u, x_{2n+2}), d(T^2u, S^2 T^2u), \frac{1}{2} \{d(T^2u, x_{2n+3}) + d(x_{2n+2}, S^2 T^2u)\}, \\ d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3})\} = c \max\{d(u, x_{2n+2}), d(u, S^2u), \frac{1}{2} \{d(u, x_{2n+3}) + d(x_{2n+2}, S^2u)\},$$

making  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & d(S^2u,u) & \leq & c \; d(u,\,S^2u) \\ \text{since } c & <1, \text{ which implies that } & d(S^2u,\,u) = 0 \\ \text{and so} & S^2u = u = \; T^2u \end{array}$$

Now weak\*\* commutativity of pair {S, T} implies that

$$\begin{array}{lll} S^2T^2u = T^2S^2u; \ S^2Tu = TS^2u; \ ST^2u = T^2Su \\ \text{and so } S^2Tu = Tu \ \text{ and } & T^2Su = Su. \\ \text{Now } d(u,Su) = & d(S^2T^2u,T^2S^2(Su)) \\ \leq & c \ \text{max } \{d(T^2u,S^2(Su)),d(T^2u,S^2T^2u),\frac{1}{2}\{d(T^2u,T^2S^2(Su)) + d(S^2(Su),S^2T^2u)\},d(S^2(Su),T^2S^2(Su)) \\ & = & c \ d(Su,u) \end{array}$$

is a contradiction, as c < 1 and so u = Su.

Similarly we can show that u = Tu.

Hence u is common fixed point of S and T.

Now suppose that v is a second common fixed point of S and T. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} d(u,\,v) &=& d(S^2T^2u,\,T^2S^2v) &\leq c\,\, max \{d(T^2u,\,S^2v),\,d(T^2u,\,S^2T^2u)\},\\ T^2u) & \text{if } \{d(T^2u,\,T^2\,S^2v) + a_4d(S^2v,\,S^2\,T^2u)\},\\ d(S^2v,\,T^2\,S^2v)\} & d(u,\,v) &= c\,\,d(u,\,v) \end{array}$$

and since c < 1, it follows that u = v.

Hence S and T have unique common fixed point. This complete the proof of the theorem.

### Reference

- 1. Das K.M. and Viswanathan Naik, K: Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on a metric space. *Proc. Amer. Math Soc.* 77(1979) 369.
- 2. Fisher, B: Common fixed point mapping. *Indian Jour.* Of Maths 20(1978) 2
- 3. Fisher, B: Common fixed point commuting mapping. Bull. Inst math Acad. Sinicea 7(1981) 397
- 4. Lohani P. C. and Badshah V.H.: Common fixed point and Weak\*\* Commuting mappings; *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 87(1995) 289-294.

### How to cite this article:

 $d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3})$ 

Damyanti Patel and R.N.Patel (2018) 'Fixed Point Theorem of Weak Commuting Mappings', *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 07(1), pp. 9206-9207. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.9207.1511

\*\*\*\*\*