



Research Article

GREEN MARKETING IN INDIA: CHALLENGES AHEAD

Sangeeta Arora*

HOD/AP, Dev Samaj College for Women, Ferozpur, Pb

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 13th June, 2017

Received in revised form 3rd

July, 2017 Accepted 24th August, 2017

Published online 28th September, 2017

Key words:

Green marketing, environment pollution, consumer behaviour.

ABSTRACT

Consumers in the recent past have become more concerned about various environmental issues and this awareness has led to a shift in the way they go about their life. There is a drastic change in the consumers thinking selecting the products for their use and are showing more concern towards environment. Organizations and business however have noticed this change in consumer attitudes and are trying to gain an edge in the competitive market by exploiting the potential in the green market industry. In this research paper, an attempt has been made to understand the concept and importance of green marketing and the challenges thereof. The paper describes the current Scenario and explores the challenges with green marketing.

Copyright©2017 Sangeeta Arora. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Although environmental issues influence all human activities, few academic disciplines have integrated green issues into their literature. This is especially true of marketing. As society becomes more concerned with the natural environment, businesses have begun to modify their behavior in an attempt to address society's "new" concerns. Some businesses have been quick to accept concepts like environmental management systems and waste minimization, and have integrated environmental issues into all organizational activities. Some evidence of this is the development of journals such as "Business Strategy and the Environment" and "Greener Management International," which are specifically designed to disseminate research relating to business' environmental behavior.

The world environmental issues have gained importance in business as well as in public life throughout the world. One business area where environmental issues have received a great deal of discussion in the popular and professional press is marketing. Many governments around the world have become so concerned about green marketing activities that they have attempted to regulate them (Polonsky 1994a). For example, in the United States (US) the Federal Trade Commission and the National Association of Attorneys-General have developed extensive documents examining green marketing issues [FTC 1991, NAAG 1990].

Green products are those that have less of an impact on the environment or are less detrimental to human health than

traditional equivalents. Green, environmental and eco-marketing are part of the new marketing approaches which do not just refocus, adjust or enhance existing marketing thinking and practice, but seek to challenge those approaches and provide a substantially different perspective. The holistic nature of green also suggests that besides suppliers and retailers new stakeholders be enlisted, including educators, members of the community, regulators, and NGOs. India has been an attractive destination for marketers because of its growth potential as an emerging market. The average Gross Domestic Production (GDP) growth rate of India is 8.37% which indicates that future consumption will increase the research findings of McKinsey Global Institute indicate that the Indian consumer market is expected to quadruple by 2025, making it the fifth largest consumer market in world. One of the biggest problems with the green marketing area is that there has been little attempt to academically examine environmental or green marketing. While some literature does exist [Carlson, Grove and Kangun 1993, Davis 1992, Davis 1993], it comes from divergent perspectives.

Green Marketing

Green marketing refers to the process of selling products and/or services based on their environmental benefits. Such a product or service may be environmentally friendly in itself or produced in an environmentally friendly way, including:

- Being manufactured in a sustainable fashion
- Not containing toxic materials or ozone-depleting substances
- Able to be recycled and/or is produced from recycled materials

*Corresponding author: **Sangeeta Arora**

HOD/AP, Dev Samaj College for Women, Ferozpur, Pb

Green Marketing in India: Challenges Ahead

- Being made from renewable materials (such as bamboo, etc.)
- Not making use of excessive packaging
- Being designed to be repairable and not "throwaway"

"Green or Environmental Marketing consists of all activities designed to generate and facilitate any exchanges intended to satisfy human needs or wants, such that the satisfaction of these needs and wants occurs, with minimal detrimental impact on the natural environment." [Polonsky 1994b, 2]

Green Marketing and Sustainable Development

Green marketing is typically practiced by companies that are committed to sustainable development and corporate social responsibility. More organizations are making an effort to implement sustainable business practices as they recognize that in doing so they can make their products more attractive to consumers and also reduce expenses, including packaging, transportation, energy/water usage, etc. Businesses are increasingly discovering that demonstrating a high level of social responsibility can increase brand loyalty among socially conscious consumers.

Why Are Firms Using Green Marketing?

When looking through the literature there are several suggested reasons for firms increased use of Green Marketing. Five possible reasons cited are:

1. Organizations perceive environmental marketing to be an opportunity that can be used to achieve its objectives [Keller 1987, Shearer 1990];
2. Organizations believe they have a moral obligation to be more socially responsible [Davis 1992, Freeman and Liedtka 1991, Keller 1987, McIntosh 1990, Shearer 1990];
3. Governmental bodies are forcing firms to become more responsible [NAAG 1990];
4. Competitors' environmental activities pressure firms to change their environmental marketing activities [NAAG 1990]; and
5. Cost factors associated with waste disposal, or reductions in material usage forces firms to modify their behavior [Azzone and Manzini 1994].

Opportunities

It appears that all types of consumers, both individual and industrial are becoming more concerned and aware about the natural environment. In a 1992 study of 16 countries, more than 50% of consumers in each country, other than Singapore, indicated they were concerned about the environment [Ottman 1993]. A 1994 study in Australia found that 84.6% of the sample believed all individuals had a responsibility to care for the environment. A further 80% of this sample indicated that they had modified their behavior, including their purchasing behavior, due to environmental reasons [EPA-NSW 1994]. As demands change, many firms see these changes as an opportunity to be exploited.

Given these figures, it can be assumed that firms marketing goods with environmental characteristics will have a competitive advantage over firms marketing non-environmentally responsible alternatives. There are numerous examples of firms who have strived to become more

environmentally responsible, in an attempt to better satisfy their consumer needs.

- McDonald's replaced its clam shell packaging with waxed paper because of increased consumer concern relating to polystyrene production and Ozone depletion [Gifford 1991, Hume 1991].
- Tuna manufacturers modified their fishing techniques because of the increased concern over driftnet fishing, and the resulting death of dolphins [Advertising Age 1991].
- Xerox introduced a "high quality" recycled photocopier paper in an attempt to satisfy the demands of firms for less environmentally harmful products.

This is not to imply that all firms who have undertaken environmental marketing activities actually improve their behavior. In some cases firms have misled consumers in an attempt to gain market share. In other cases firms have jumped on the green bandwagon without considering the accuracy of their behavior, their claims, or the effectiveness of their products. This lack of consideration of the true "greenness" of activities may result in firms making false or misleading green marketing claims.

Social Responsibility

Many firms are beginning to realize that they are members of the wider community and therefore must behave in an environmentally responsible fashion. This translates into firms that believe they must achieve environmental objectives as well as profit related objectives. This results in environmental issues being integrated into the firm's corporate culture. Firms in this situation can take two perspectives; 1) they can use the fact that they are environmentally responsible as a marketing tool; or 2) they can become responsible without promoting this fact.

There are examples of firms adopting both strategies. Organizations like the Body Shop heavily promote the fact that they are environmentally responsible. While this behavior is a competitive advantage, the firm was established specifically to offer consumers environmentally responsible alternatives to conventional cosmetic products. This philosophy is directly tied to the overall corporate culture, rather than simply being a competitive tool.

An example of a firm that does not promote its environmental initiatives is Coca-Cola. They have invested large sums of money in various recycling activities, as well as having modified their packaging to minimize its environmental impact. While being concerned about the environment, Coke has not used this concern as a marketing tool. Thus many consumers may not realize that Coke is a very environmentally committed organization. Another firm who is very environmentally responsible but does not promote this fact, at least outside the organization, is Walt Disney World (WDW). WDW has an extensive waste management program and infrastructure in place, yet these facilities are not highlighted in their general tourist promotional activities (Murphy 1985).

Government Pressure

As with all marketing related activities, governments want to "protect" consumers and society; this protection has significant green marketing implications. Governmental

regulations relating to environmental marketing are designed to protect consumers in several ways, 1) reduce production of harmful goods or by-products; 2) modify consumer and industry's use and/or consumption of harmful goods; or 3) ensure that all types of consumers have the ability to evaluate the environmental composition of goods.

Governments establish regulations designed to control the amount of hazardous wastes produced by firms. Many by-products of production are controlled through the issuing of various environmental licenses, thus modifying organizational behavior. In some cases governments try to "induce" final consumers to become more responsible. For example, some governments have introduced voluntary curb-side recycling programs, making it easier for consumers to act responsibly. In other cases governments tax individuals who act in an irresponsible fashion. For example in Australia there is a higher gas tax associated with leaded petrol.

One of the more recent publicized environmental regulations undertaken by governments has been the establishment of guidelines designed to "control" green marketing claims [Polonsky 1994a]. These regulations include the Australian Trade Practices Commission's (TPC) "Environmental Claims in Marketing - A Guideline [TPC 1992], the US Federal Trade Commission's (FTC) "Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims" [FTC 1991 and 1992] and the regulations suggested by the National Association of Attorneys-General [NAAG 1990]. These regulations are all designed to ensure consumers have the appropriate information which would enable them to evaluate firm's environmental claims. In addition to these guidelines many States in the US have introduced legislation to control various environmental marketing activities [Kangun and Polonsky 1994]. In most cases these State laws are more stringent than the FTC's guidelines. To date the majority of prosecutions of firms using misleading green marketing has occurred in State rather than Federal courts.

Thus governmental attempts to protect consumers from false or misleading claims should theoretically provide consumers with the ability to make more informed decisions. In Australia where regulations have affected many companies, one unintended casualty was an advertisement for the Federal Government's environmental labeling program "Environmental Choice." This ad was deemed to breach the TPC's guidelines, as it implied that only products with the logo were environmentally responsible.

Competitive Pressure

Another major force in the environmental marketing area has been firms' desire to maintain their competitive position. In many cases firms observe competitors promoting their environmental behaviors and attempt to emulate this behavior. In some instances this competitive pressure has caused an entire industry to modify and thus reduce its detrimental environmental behavior. For example, it could be argued that Xerox's "Revive 100% Recycled paper" was introduced a few years ago in an attempt to address the introduction of recycled photocopier paper by other manufacturers. In another example when one tuna manufacturer stopped using driftnets the others followed suit [Advertising Age 1991].

Cost or Profit Issues

Firms may also use green marketing in an attempt to address cost or profit related issues. Disposing of environmentally harmful by-products, such as polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contaminated oil are becoming increasingly costly and in some cases difficult. Therefore firms that can reduce harmful wastes may incur substantial cost savings. When attempting to minimize waste, firms are often forced to re-examine their production processes. In these cases they often develop more effective production processes that not only reduce waste, but reduce the need for some raw materials. This serves as a double cost savings, since both waste and raw material are reduced.

In other cases firms attempt to find end-of-pipe solutions, instead of minimizing waste. In these situations firms try to find markets or uses for their waste materials, where one firm's waste becomes another firm's input of production. One Australian example of this is a firm who produces acidic waste water as a by-product of production and sells it to a firm involved in neutralizing base materials.

The last way in which cost or profit issues may affect firms' environmental marketing activities is that new industries may be developed. This can occur in two ways: 1) a firm develops a technology for reducing waste and sells it to other firms; or 2) a waste recycling or removal industry develops [Yurman 1994]. For example, firms that clean the oil in large industrial condensers increase the life of those condensers, removing the need for replacing the oil, as well as the need to dispose of the waste oil. This reduces operating costs for those owning the condensers and generates revenue for those firms cleaning the oil.

Some Problems with Going Green

No matter why a firm uses green marketing there are a number of potential problems that they must overcome. One of the main problems is that firms using green marketing must ensure that their activities are not misleading to consumers or industry, and do not breach any of the regulations or laws dealing with environmental marketing. For example marketers in the US must ensure their green marketing claims can meet the following set of criteria, in order to comply with the FTC's guidelines. Green marketing claims must;

- Clearly state environmental benefits;
- Explain environmental characteristics;
- Explain how benefits are achieved;
- Ensure comparative differences are justified;
- Ensure negative factors are taken into consideration; and
- Only use meaningful terms and pictures.

Another problem firm's face is that those who modify their products due to increased consumer concern must contend with the fact that consumers' perceptions are sometimes not correct. Take for example the McDonald's case where it has replaced its clam shells with plastic coated paper. There is ongoing scientific debate which is more environmentally friendly. Some scientific evidence suggests that when taking a cradle-to-grave approach, polystyrene is less environmentally harmful. If this is the case McDonald's bowed to consumer pressure, yet has chosen the more environmentally harmful option.

When firms attempt to become socially responsible, they may face the risk that the environmentally responsible action of today will be found to be harmful in the future. Take for example the aerosol industry which has switched from CFCs (chlorofluorocarbons) to HFCs (hydro fluorocarbons) only to be told HFCs are also a greenhouse gas. Some firms now use DME (dim ethyl ether) as an aerosol propellant, which may also harm the ozone layer [Debets 1989]. Given the limited scientific knowledge at any point in time, it may be impossible for a firm to be certain they have made the correct environmental decision. This may explain why some firms, like Coca-Cola and Walt Disney World, are becoming socially responsible without publicizing the point. They may be protecting themselves from potential future negative backlash; if it is determined they made the wrong decision in the past.

While governmental regulation is designed to give consumers the opportunity to make better decisions or to motivate them to be more environmentally responsible, there is difficulty in establishing policies that will address all environmental issues. For example, guidelines developed to control environmental marketing address only a very narrow set of issues, i.e., the truthfulness of environmental marketing claims [Schlossberg 1993]. If governments want to modify consumer behavior they need to establish a different set of regulations. Thus governmental attempts to protect the environment may result in a proliferation of regulations and guidelines, with no one central controlling body.

Reacting to competitive pressures can cause all "followers" to make the same mistake as the "leader." A costly example of this was the Mobil Corporation who followed the competition and introduced "biodegradable" plastic garbage bags. While technically these bags were biodegradable, the conditions under which they were disposed did not allow biodegradation to occur. Mobil was sued by several US states for using misleading advertising claims [Lawrence 1991]. Thus blindly following the competition can have costly ramifications.

The push to reduce costs or increase profits may not force firms to address the important issue of environmental degradation. End-of-pipe solutions may not actually reduce the waste but rather shift it around. While this may be beneficial, it does not necessarily address the larger environmental problem, though it may minimize its short term affects. Ultimately most waste produced will enter the waste stream, therefore to be environmentally responsible organizations should attempt to minimize their waste, rather than find "appropriate" uses for it.

Are Consumers Willing to Pay More for Green Products?

The obvious assumption of green marketing is that potential consumers will view a product or service's "greenness" as a benefit and base their buying decision accordingly. The not-so-obvious assumption is that consumers will be willing to pay more for green products than they would for a less-green comparable alternative product.

Is this true?

Apparently, yes. The 2014 Nielsen Global Survey on Corporate Social Responsibility polled 30,000 consumers from 60 countries to determine statistics on consumer preferences for sustainable purchasing, and found that:

- 55% of consumers were willing to pay extra for products and services from companies committed to positive social and environmental impact (up from 45% in 2011)
- 52% made at least one purchase in the past six months from at least one socially responsible company
- 52% check product packaging to ensure sustainable impact

The Nielsen survey also looked at retail purchase statistics, and according to sales data brands that advertised sustainability on packaging had 2% year-over-year increase in sales from 2011 to 2014, as compared with 1% for those that did not.

Keys to Successful Green Marketing Campaigns

Green Marketing Can Win More Customers If You Do It Right

Show potential customers that you follow green business practices and you could reap more green on your bottom line. Green marketing isn't just a catchphrase; it's a marketing strategy that can help you get more customers and make more money. But only if you do it right. The three keys to successful green marketing campaigns are to:

- be genuine,
- educate your customers, and
- give them the opportunity to participate.

Be Genuine

Being genuine means that

1. that you are actually doing what you claim to be doing in your green marketing campaign and
2. that the rest of your business policies are consistent with whatever you are doing that's environmentally friendly. Both these conditions have to be met for your business to establish the kind of environmental credentials that will allow your campaign to succeed.

For instance, you'll quickly lose credibility as a green marketer and business person if your customers see that you drive a gas guzzling GMC Hummer to work every day. Need help making your business more environmentally friendly? See 10 Ways to Green Your Business and Green Ideas for the Office.

Educate Your Customers

This is not just a matter of letting people know you're doing whatever you're doing to protect the environment but also a matter of letting them know why it matters. Otherwise, for a significant portion of your target market, it's a case of "So what?" and your marketing campaign goes nowhere. If you are marketing your switch to recyclable packaging in your business, for example, explain the landfill reduction benefits to your customers on your business website or on social media. Or describe how your electric vehicle delivery service can help reduce CO2 emissions that contribute to climate change.

Encourage Customers to Participate

For your campaign to succeed, you need to personalize the benefits of your environmentally friendly actions, normally

through letting the customer take part in positive environmental action.

CONCLUSION

Green marketing covers more than a firm's marketing claims. While firms must bear much of the responsibility for environmental degradation, ultimately it is consumers who demand goods, and thus create environmental problems. One example of this is where McDonald's is often blamed for polluting the environment because much of their packaging finishes up as roadside waste. It must be remembered that it is the uncaring consumer who chooses to dispose of their waste in an inappropriate fashion. While firms can have a great impact on the natural environment, the responsibility should not be theirs alone. In the EPA's 1994 study consumers gave the following reasons for why they damage the environment. It appears that consumers are not overly committed to improving their environment and may be looking to lay too much responsibility on industry and government. Ultimately green marketing requires that consumers want a cleaner environment and are willing to "pay" for it, possibly through higher priced goods, modified individual lifestyles, or even governmental intervention. Until this occurs it will be difficult for firms alone to lead the green marketing revolution.

Having said this, it must not be forgotten that the industrial buyer also has the ability to pressure suppliers to modify their activities. Thus an environmental committed organization may not only produce goods that have reduced their detrimental impact on the environment, they may also be able to pressure their suppliers to behave in a more environmentally "responsible" fashion. Final consumers and industrial buyers also have the ability to pressure organizations to integrate the environment into their corporate culture and thus ensure all organizations minimize the detrimental environmental impact of their activities.

Bibliography

- Advertising Age. 1991. "Spurts and Starts: Corporate Role in '90s Environmentalism Hardly Consistent." *Advertising Age* 62 (46): GR14-GR16.
- Azzone, Giovanni and Raffaella Manzini. 1994. "Measuring Strategic Environmental Performance." *Business Strategy and the Environment* 3 (1): 1-14.
- Carlson, Les, Stephen Grove, and Norman Kangun. 1993. "A Content Analysis of Environmental Advertising." *Journal of Advertising* 22 (3): 27-38.
- Davis, Joel J. 1992. "Ethics and Green Marketing." *Journal of Business Ethics* 11 (2): 81-87.
- Davis, Joel J. 1993. "Strategies for Environmental Advertising." *Journal of Consumer Marketing* 10 (2): 19-36.
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC). 1991. Hearings on Environmental Marketing Issues, (July 17-18), Washington, D.C.: FTC.
- Federal Trade Commission(FTC). 1992. Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims: The Application of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act to Environmental Advertising and Marketing Practices. Washington, D.C.: FTC.
- EPA-NSW. 1994. Who Cares about the Environment. Sydney, Australia: Environmental Protection Authority.
- Gifford B. 1991. "The Greening of the Golden Arches-McDonald's Teams With Environmental Group to Cut Waste." *The San Diego Union*, August 19: C1,C4.
- Gore, Al. 1993. *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and Human Spirit*. New York: Plume.
- Hume, Scott. 1991. "McDonald's: Case Study." *Advertising Age* 62 (5): 32.
- Kangun, Norman and Michael Polonsky. 1994 "Regulation of Environmental Marketing Claims: A Comparative Perspective." *International Journal of Advertising* 13 (4): Forthcoming.
- Keller, G. M. 1987. "Industry and the Environment: Toward a New Philosophy." *Vital Speeches* 54 (5): 154-157.
- Lawrence, Jennifer. 1991. "The Green Revolution: Mobil." *Advertising Age* 62 (5): 12-13.
- McIntosh, Andrew. 1990. "The Impact of Environmental Issues on Marketing and Politics in the 1990s." *Journal of the Marketing Research Society* 33 (3): 205-217.
- Murphy, P. 1985. *Tourism: A Community Approach*. New York: Methuen Inc.
- National Association of Attorneys-General (NAAG). 1990. *The Green Report: Findings and Preliminary Recommendations for Responsible Advertising*. [San Francisco?], USA: National Association of Attorneys-General.
- Ottman, Jacquelyn. 1993. *Green Marketing: Challenges and Opportunities for the New Marketing Age*. Lincolnwood, Illinois: NTC Business Books.
- Polonsky, Michael Jay. 1994a. "Green Marketing Regulation in the US and Australia: The Polonsky, Michael Jay. 1994b. "A Stakeholder Theory Approach to Designing Environmental Marketing Strategy." Unpublished Working Paper.
- Schlossberg, Howard. 1993. "Effect of FTC Green Guidelines Still Doubtful for Some Marketers." *Marketing News* 27: 1, 6.
- Shearer, Jeffery W. 1990. "Business and the New Environmental Imperative." *Business Quarterly* 54 (3): 48-52.
- Trade Practices Commission (TPC). 1992. *Environmental Claims in Marketing - A Guideline*. Canberra: TPC.
- Yurman, Dan. 1994. "Markets for Green Technologies; Working Paper, Current Literature Review and Bibliography." *EcoNet Western Lands Gopher*, June. Superseded by "Green Technology: Markets, Competition and Barriers; New Paradigms for Natural Resources." September 13, 1994.
- [URL:
gopher://gopher.igc.apc.org:70/00/environment/forests/western.lands/72]
